

## EPA Official Record

**Notes ID:** 472D342A76641094882578B500812658  
**From:** Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US  
**To:** "Moore, David (ECY)" <DMOO461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
**Copy To:** Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Delivered Date:** 06/20/2011 04:32 PM PDT  
**Subject:** Re: draft Avista response letter

Dave:

I didn't get a chance to talk to Ben about this today, and his outgoing voice mail says he'll be back on Wednesday. Will it be OK for your needs if I consult with Ben on Wednesday before we get back to you, or are you under the gun to get this done yesterday?

Thanks,

Brian Nickel, E.I.T.

Environmental Engineer  
US EPA Region 10 | Office of Water and Watersheds | NPDES Permits Unit  
Voice: 206-553-6251 | Toll Free: 800-424-4372 ext. 6251 | Fax: 206-553-0165  
Nickel.Brian@epa.gov  
<http://epa.gov/r10earth/waterpermits.htm>  
Please conserve natural resources by not printing this message.

▼ "Moore, David (ECY)" ---06/20/2011 01:28:40 PM---Hi Brian and Ben,

From: "Moore, David (ECY)" <DMOO461@ECY.WA.GOV>  
To: Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 06/20/2011 01:28 PM  
Subject: draft Avista response letter

Hi Brian and Ben,

I have a very draft response letter and the one thing I'm struggling with is explaining the PSU deviations from LimnoTech's report (or vice-versa). I think the response is fairly simple but the explanation why not so much. I would appreciate you adding anything you feel is necessary to my attached draft. I've also included the Avista letter for reference. I'll send this by Kelly before it goes out to Avista once I get your input.

Thanks (again) for your help,

Dave[attachment "Spokane River and Lake Spokane DO TMDL Alternate Scenario.pdf" deleted by Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US] [attachment "DraftAvistaResponse6\_17\_11.docx" deleted by Brian Nickel/R10/USEPA/US]